BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

M.A. No. 1502 of 2017 In Original Application No. No. 594 /2016

IN THE MATTER OF:-

Akash Vashishtha Vs. Union of India & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D.SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE DR. NAGIN NANDA, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Applicant:

Respondent No. 6

Mr. Rahul Choudhary Mr. Utkarsh Jain Adv.s Mr. B.V. Niren and Mr. Vinayak Gupta, Advs. Ms. Deep Shikha Bharti, Adv. for State of Uttar

Pradesh

Mr. Mukul Singh, Adv. Mr. Varun Thakur, Adv Mr. I. K. Kapila,. Adv

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Item No. 23	M.A. No. 1502 of 2017
December 21, 2017	The applicants are seeking directions for staying the
	ongoing activity of concretization in river Yamuna. The
E	applicant contends that the respondents are doing
119	construction of 4 to 5 feet of concrete wall in the middle of
1 5	the river taking disadvantage of the permission to carry
20	out the sheet pile work vide order dated 31st May, 2017
- A - P	and this work will damage the aquatic life of the river.
	Reply dated 20th December, 2017 is filed today by the
	State of Uttar Pradesh wherein it is contended that the
	concretization work for strengthening the base of sheet
	piling as permitted by order dated 31st May, 2017, is being
	carried out at the left side of the river as shown in the
	photograph at annexure-1 to the reply and no work is
	being carried out in the middle of the river. The
	photographs produced by the State of Uttar Pradesh reveal
	that the ongoing construction is being carried out with the
	excavation at the bank of the river Yamuna and not in the

Item No. 23

December 21, 2017

The applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder to this reply. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within one week from today. Advance copy of the rejoinder shall be furnished to the respondents.

No replies filed by the other respondents. No time is granted for filing any further reply or Rejoinder.

List this matter on 03rd January, 2017.

(U.D. Salvi)

(U.D. Salvi)

